



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_32391
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF TETANUS TOXOID IMMUNIZATION AMONG WOMEN IN A NIGER DELTA COMMUNITY, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Results in this manuscript are good as per the topic but they have not been utilized well, the discussion is so inadequate. Fewer statistics have been done, get more statistical associations to boost your discussion. More literature is needed in your discussion if this is to add to the research world. Look http://www.who.int/immunization/newsroom/press/tetanus toxoid vaccine/en/ at , plus many more others	Thank you for your observations, comments and the link you sent me. I have expanded on my discussion and added more literature.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research study is good since tetanus is a worldwide threat and a target for regional elimination.	Thank you for this comment.